March 4, 2009 Reply to Office Action of:

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 1, 3 and 7 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakache et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,103,109). Claims 8 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakache. Claims 2, 4-6 and 9-14, however, were indicated as being allowable if rewritten into independent form. Accordingly, the rejected claims have been cancelled and the objected to claims have been rewritten into independent form as appropriate.

In view of the amendments set forth above, the above-identified application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

ectfully submitted

awrence E. Ashery, Reg. No. 34,515

MAT-8839US

Attorney for Applicants

LEA/fp

Dated: April 29, 2009

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980 (610) 407-0700

FP_438191